UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,594	04/04/2006	Bernd Schepperle	ZAHFRIP832US	4299
	7590 03/21/200 D & Daniels, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN	T STREET		LE, DAVID D	
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/574,594	SCHEPPERLE, BERND		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David D. Le	3681		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 April 2006 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/04/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/574,594, filed 04 April 2006. Claims 14-26 are pending.

#### **Documents**

- 2. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
  - Foreign Priority Document, received on 04/04/06
  - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 04/04/06

# **Specification**

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3681

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Objections

- 5. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 23, lines 2-3, "one of aluminum andor plastic" should be --one of aluminum and plastic--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

### *Claim 14:*

• Lines 2-3 recite the limitation "an axially slidable manner". It is unclear which axially slidable manner that the claimed limitation is referring to.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3681

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 9. Claims 14, 16 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.
- S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/00663368 A1 to Koerber et al. (hereinafter referred to as Koerber).

## Claims 14, 16 and 22-26:

Koerber (Figs. 1-4; paragraphs [0018] to [0024]) discloses a manual transmission comprising:

- A shift shaft (i.e., Fig. 2, element 7);
- A plurality of shifting forks (i.e., paragraph [0022]);
- A selection apparatus (i.e., Fig. 3) for selection one of the plurality of shifting forks;
- A blocking apparatus (i.e., Fig. 1, elements 10, 11) for prevention of movement of non-selected shifting forks;
- An additional shaft (i.e., Fig. 2, element 8);
- An actuator (i.e., Fig. 2, element 9);
- Wherein the actuator (9) axially displaces the shift shaft (7) for carrying out the shifting procedure;
- An additional actuator (i.e., Fig. 2, element 10);
- Ring-shaped engagement units (i.e., Fig. 2, elements 1); and
- Wherein the actuators are electro-mechanical actuators.

Art Unit: 3681

*Note:* 

It should be noted that it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 15 and 17-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Narita et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,085,607) teaches a power transmission device having a shifting mechanism, as shown in Fig. 8.
  - Ishida et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,784,007) teaches an apparatus for actuating a transmission, as shown in Fig. 3(A).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David D. Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681 03/15/2008

ddl